### Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan Sustainability Appraisal Report

### **REVISED ANNEX II**

Atkins and Wiltshire Council

July Revised September 2018

**NTKINS** 

Plan Design Enable

# Notice

This document and its contents have been prepared and are intended solely for Wiltshire Council's information and use in relation to the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan.

Atkins assumes no responsibility to any other party in respect of or arising out of or in connection with this document and/or its contents.

### **Document history**

Job numb	per: 5139589						
Revision	Purpose description	Originated	Checked	Reviewed	Authorised	Date	
0.10	Annex II to the SA Report	KP	DW	КР	KP	04/05/18	
0.20	Annex II to the SA Report following Wiltshire Council Cabinet July 2018 and further proposed changes		DW	KP	KP	13/0718	
<u>0.30</u>	Updates to SA Report to reflect the SA implications of the submitted Schedule of Proposed Changes, as per this revised Annex II (September 2018).		DW	<u>KP</u>	<u>KP</u>	<u>11/09/18</u>	

#### **Client signoff**

Client	Wiltshire Council
Project	Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan
Document title	SA Report
Job no.	5139589

## **Table of contents**

		Pages
1.	Introduction	4
2.	Assessment of changes	4
Tables	S	
Table	1	6

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1.1 This revised Annex II sets out the required changes to the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan (WHSAP) Pre-Submission Draft Plan arising from the Council's submitted Schedule of Proposed Changes (July 2018) to the Pre-Submission Draft Plan.
- 1.1.2 The July 2018 SA Report was submitted to the Secretary of State alongside the draft Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan and a final, consolidated Schedule of Proposed Changes. It [the SA] had been updated following pre-submission consultation on the draft Plan and associated SA Report that took place between 14 July 2017 and 22 September 2017.
- 1.1.3 The submitted version of the SA Report contained a document called "Annex II", which provided an assessment of the implications for the SA of the Council's Schedule of Proposed Changes to the draft Plan. Following the submission of documents, it has been identified that the assessment presented in the submitted version of Annex II was based on the Schedule of Proposed Changes as presented to Cabinet in May 2018, together with the implications of the resolution of the July Cabinet<sup>1</sup>.
- 1.1.4 To clearly reflect the full extent of the Schedule of Proposed Changes approved by Cabinet and Council in July 2018 (and subsequently submitted to the Secretary of State), Annex II has been revised. It addresses the up-to-date position the Council has reached in the process of preparing the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan. When read as a whole, the assessment presented in the revised Annex II, sets out the implications for the SA arising from the Council's submitted Schedule of Proposed Changes (July 2018) to the draft Plan. Where necessary, in the interests of clarity, changes to the SA have now been made to address the findings of the assessments undertaken and decisions made to date.
- 1.1.5 All changes that have been made to the SA Report are shown in **bold, underlined text** and strikethrough in the SA Report, with the exception of this revised Annex II.
- 1.1.6 The SA of the changes has been undertaken in compliance with the requirements of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 and the European Union Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive 2001/42/EC and builds upon earlier SA work undertaken to inform the Pre-Submission Draft Plan. The assessment methodology used is that described in Chapter 2: Methodology of the updated SA Report (September 2018).

### 2. Assessment of changes

- 2.1.1 The Council's submitted Schedule of Proposed Changes (July 2018) sets out proposed revisions to the Pre-Submission Draft Plan.
- 2.1.2 Table 1 shows the full set of proposed changes and considers their SA implications. The nature of each of the changes has been considered in order to establish implications with reference to the results in the SA Report of the Pre-Submission Draft Plan. Where no change is considered necessary, due to a factual change with no impact on the SA, no further assessment has been necessary. Where proposed changes have resulted in a potential impact on the SA, the SA has

Atkins

<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A draft Schedule of Proposed Changes was presented with the Cabinet Papers in May 2018, but not debated. Cabinet instead resolved to defer a decision, pending the outcome of a focused consultation with Wiltshire Councillors and Parish and Town Councils, on the Schedule of Proposed Changes. As a result of this consultation, further Proposed Changes were debated and endorsed by Cabinet on 3 July and subsequently approved by full Council on 10 July 2018.

been reviewed to identify if this results in a change to the SA (indicated in RED in Table 1 below). In some instances, the revised wording, on balance, does not affect the overall score against an objective, and this has been recorded. Where changes were considered to change a policy in such a way that this has had an impact on the SA scores, this has been assessed and any changes to the scoring have been recorded in the SA Report. Only one new policy has been added to the Draft Plan, at Salisbury, which has been assessed and the results of this are also provided in Table 1. The individual SA scores for this site policy are addressed in Chapter 7 of the SA Report (September 2018).

#### Table 1: Proposed Changes and SA implications

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
Contents Pa	nge		·	•	
PC1	Contents page		Update to reflect the removal of proposed housing allocations at Market Lavington, for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Under Contents section 5: Housing Site Allocations, delete: <del>Devizes Community Area</del>	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC2	Contents page		Update to reflect the removal of proposed housing allocation at Crudwell, for the reasons explained in PC92.	Under Contents section 5: Housing Site Allocations, delete: Malmesbury Community Area	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
Chapter 4 H	ousing delive	ery strategy			
PC3	Table 4.1		Factual update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published March 2018 (base date April 2017).	document.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC4	Paragraph 4.2		To improve clarity.	Amend the paragraph to read: "The figures above <u>do not include windfall and</u> show a minimum that the Plan should aim to allocate, but a surplus is necessary to maintain five years supply of housing land in each HMA and to surpass the buffer in excess of five years required by the NPPF."	This change was introduced to improve clarity. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC5	Paragraph 4.3		Update to reflect that housing allocations are no longer proposed at the Local Service Centre of Market Lavington, for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Amend the paragraph to read: "In order to deliver the spatial strategy, the priority for housing land allocations has been to focus on those higher tier settlements that have not yet met or contributed towards indicative levels of provision (Principal Settlements <b>and</b> Market Towns	This change was introduced as a factual update. The removal of sites at Market Lavington requires amendments to the SA and these are set out under PC7.
PC6	Paragraph 4.3		To correct a typographical error.	Amend final sentence of paragraph to read: "This supports the sustainable development of the County sought by Objective 2 <u>3</u> of the Plan. These settlements where allocations are justified are:"	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC7	Table 4.2		Update to reflect that housing	Delete:.	The deletion of these sites at Market Lavington does not affect

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
			allocations are no longer proposed at Market Lavington, for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.		the assessment of these sites in the SA Report at Chapter 7. However, the changes in total housing supply that this will result in will require a factual amendment in the SA Report at:
					Non-Technical Summary SA Report: * Table 8.1 * Table 8.2 * Deletion of assessment of sites at Market Lavington under Policy H1 (the SA of Policy H1 will essentially now be the same as the assessment of Policy H1.1, which would be the only site put forward under Policy H1) * Update of total dwellings figures in Table 8.5, under SA Objectives 8 and 9 for Policy H1 (i.e. reduction of 80 to account for Market Lavington sites)
PC8	Paragraph 4.5		Update to reflect that housing allocations are no longer proposed at the Local Service Centre of Market Lavington for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Amend the paragraph to read: "No allocations are made at <i>Local Service Centres or</i> Large Villages in the East Wiltshire HMA because there is no strategic priority to do so due to the level of completions and supply committed within the HMA"	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.
PC9	Table 4.3		Update to reflect that a housing allocation is no longer proposed at Crudwell, for the reasons explained in PC92.	Delete:. Malmesbury Community Area Remainder.	The deletion of this site at Crudwell does not affect the assessment of this site in the SA Report at Chapter 7. However, the changes in total housing supply that this will result in will require a factual amendment in the SA Report at:

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
					Non-Technical Summary SA Report: * Amend Table 8.1 * Amend Table 8.3 * Delete assessment of Crudwell under Policy H2 (section 8.3.44) * Delete paras. 8.3.148-8.3.153. * Update of total dwellings figures in Table 8.5, under SA Objectives 8 and 9 for Policy H2 i.e. reduction of 50 dwellings at the Crudwell site * Delete ref to site allocation H2.13 in Table 11.1 * Update total dwelling number in Section 13.3.1.
PC10	Paragraph 4.8		Factual update to reflect the consideration of new sites.	Amend the paragraph to read: "All councils are required to maintain a register of land that has been put forward for development. This is referred to a the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA). Wiltshire Council. Within areas of search the SHLAA provides a pool of land opportunities for possible housing development and is the starting point for site assessment. <u>Since the publication of the SHLAA other</u> <u>sites have been promoted to the Council through the</u> <u>consultation on the draft Plan, which would be</u> <u>considered through future updates to the SHLAA, now</u> <u>referred to as the Strategic Housing and Employment</u> <u>Land Availability Assessment (SHELAA). Such sites ca</u> <u>assessment purposes.</u> "	as to the SA Report required.
PC11	Table 4.4		Update to reflect that housing allocations are no longer proposed at Market Lavington, for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Delete text referring to allocations at Market Lavington:         Market       1089       Southcliff       15         Lavington       2055       Underhill       50         / 530       Nusery       50	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Cha	nge				SA Implications
					3443	East of Lavington School	<del>15</del>		
PC12	Table 4.5		Update to reflect that a housing allocation is no longer proposed at Crudwell, for the reasons explained in PC92.	Crudwe	233 cludes 10	referring to alloo <del>Ridgeway</del> <del>Farm 4</del> dwellings that a	50- <sup>13</sup>	dwell:	Refer to SA implications set out under PC9 above.
PC13	changes to approximate number of dwellings to be allocated at Elm Grove Farm, Trowbridge (see PC55); Upper Studley, Trowbridge (see PC70); Land off the A363 at White Horse Business Park, Trowbridge (see PC60); Elizabeth Way,	changes to approximate number of dwellings to be allocated at Elm Grove Farm, Trowbridge (see PC55); Upper Studley,	Update housir Trowbridge	ng numbers 613	Elm Grove Farm	<del>200</del> <u>250</u>		Refer to PC55, PC70, PC60, PC64 and PC94 for SA implications.	
		Trowbridge	3260	Upper Studley	<del>20</del>				
		Trowbridge (see PC64); and Court Orchard Cassways, Bratton (see PC94).	Trowbridge	298	Land off the A363 at Wh Horse Business Pa	ite	75		
				Trowbridge	297/ 263	Elizabeth Way	205 355		
				Bratton	321	Court Orchard / Cassways	40 <u>35</u>		
PC14	Table 4.6		Update table to show proposed new site at Salisbury, in response to PC111.	Insert new row indicating the proposed new allocation at Salisbury:				n at	Updates required to Non-Technical Summary.
				Salisbury	<u>OM003</u>	<u>The Yard</u>	14		Updates to SA Report Table 8.1 and Table 8.4 to include The Yard New H3.5 site assessment will need to be added after para 8.3.205 and subsequent site allocations in Durrington re- numbered. Table 8.5 updated. Table 8.5 updated. Table 11.1 updated with new H3.5 site allocation.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
					No changes required to Section 13.4.
PC15	Table 4.7		Update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published March 2018 (base date April 2017). This has been updated to reflect amended capacities/ densities of housing allocations at Trowbridge (see PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70) and at Bratton (see PC94); removal of housing allocations at Market Lavington (see PC46, PC47 and PC48) and Crudwell (see PC92); and addition of a new housing allocation at Salisbury (see PC111).		Trowbridge – refer to PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70. Bratton – refer to PC94. Market Lavington – refer to PC7. Crudwell – refer to PC9. New allocation at Salisbury – refer to PC14.
PC16	Paragraph 4.32		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend paragraph to read: "Housing trajectories are site by site estimates of start and finish dates and annual completions. Aggregating housing trajectories for each HMA shows how the Plan helps to deliver in excess of five years supply of land in each area for the remaining years of the plan period. The table below provides estimates of how many years supply there will be in each remaining year of the plan period. It shows that supply exceeds the five_year requirement through to the end of the plan period for all years except <del>one</del> <u>four</u> in the South Wiltshire HMA and <del>well before</del> by then additional allocations will be included within the review of the WCS."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC17	Table 4.8		Update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published March 2018 (base date April 2017). This has been updated to reflect amended capacities /densities of housing allocations at Trowbridge (see PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70) and at Bratton (see PC94); removal of housing allocations at Market Lavington (see PC46, PC47 and PC48) and Crudwell (see PC92); and addition of a new housing allocation at Salisbury (see PC111).	Update to Table 4.8 is set out in full at Annex A.	Trowbridge – refer to PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70. Bratton – refer to PC94. Market Lavington – refer to PC7. Crudwell – refer to PC9. New allocation at Salisbury – refer to PC14.
PC18	Table 4.9		Update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published	Update to Table 4.9 is set out in full at Annex A.	Refer to PC7.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers		Proposed Change	SA Implications
			March 2018 (base date April 2017). This has been updated to reflect removal of housing allocations at Market Lavington (see PC46, PC47 and PC48).		
PC19	Paragraph 4.39		Update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018), to reflect changes to Table 4.9 as set out in PC18.	Amend paragraph to read: "The overall pattern of growth is in general conformity with the WCS. It is consistent with the principles of the spatial strategy. Compared to indicative levels, development is focussed slightly more on the Market Towns (+4% +7.2%) and less on the rural settlements (-8% -10.8%).	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC20	Paragraph 4.41		To correct a typographical error.	Change 'Netheravob' in second sentence to 'Netheravon'.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC21	Table 4.10		Factual update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published March 2018 (base date April 2017). This has been updated to reflect amended capacities/ densities of housing allocations at Trowbridge (see PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70) and at Bratton (see PC94); and removal of housing allocation at Crudwell (see PC92).	Update to Table 4.10 is set out in full at Annex A.	Trowbridge – refer to PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70. Bratton – refer to PC94. Crudwell – refer to PC9.
PC22	Paragraph 4.45		Minor factual amendment to express the degree to which market towns have disproportionately grown in recent years when compared to the Principal Settlements of Trowbridge and Chippenham. This reflects the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend paragraph to read: "There are marked differences in the anticipated growth <u>of</u> <u>many of the Market Towns in the HMA (including</u> Calne, <u>Malmesbury, Melksham and Bowerhill,</u> and Westbury) over the plan period compared to the two Principal Settlements of the HMA, Chippenham and Trowbridge."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC23	Paragraph 4.47		Minor factual amendment for clarity to reflect the fact that Melksham and Bowerhill village are treated as being a single settlement within the Wiltshire Core Strategy for the purposes of planning.	Amend paragraph to read: "In contrast, rates of development at most Market Towns have met expectations and at Bradford on Avon, Calne, Malmesbury, Melksham <u>and Bowerhill</u> , Royal Wootton Bassett and Westbury anticipated levels of growth have been exceeded over the first half of the plan period. Land	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				has been available and some additional sites granted consent by planning appeals. Over the same interval, scales of development within rural areas in many places have also exceeded those anticipated by the WCS."	
PC24	Paragraph 4.49		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend paragraph to read: "Chippenham however is now likely to exceed now has the potential to meet the minimum scale of growth anticipated in the WCS by <u>delivery of</u> higher rates of house building in the last half of the plan period compared to much lower rates over recent years. This will come about in large part as a result of significant allocations for housing development made in the Chippenham Site Allocations Plan <u>as well as</u> <u>other significant permissions at the town</u> ."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC25	Paragraph 4.52		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018) and to reflect PC55, PC60, PC64, PC70 that propose higher densities on site allocations to make best use of land.	"Unlike Chippenham however, allocations made by the Plan	PC64 and PC70.
PC26	Paragraph 4.53		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018) and PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70.	Amend paragraph to read: "One main reason for a shortfall in land supply is the complexity and consequent delay developing Ashton Park, a south-eastern extension to the town. <del>1,600</del> <u>1,350</u> dwellings will be built on this site in the plan period and a further <del>1,000</del> <u>1,250</u> post-2026; rather than first envisaged that the whole of the allocation would have been completed in the plan period. This <u>broadly</u> equates can be seen to account for <u>1,000 of</u> the <del>1,220</del> <u>1,297</u> dwelling shortfall."	As above.
PC27	Table 4.11		Factual update to table of figures to reflect the latest housing land supply statement	Update to Table 4.11 is set out in full at Annex A.	Refer to PC14.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
			published March 2018 (base date April 2017). This has been updated to reflect the addition of a new housing allocation at Salisbury (see PC111).		
PC28	Paragraph 4.63		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend the paragraph to read: "The South Wiltshire HMA has a <del>slightly</del> less generous housing land supply than elsewhere in Wiltshire."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC29	Paragraph 4.64		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend the paragraph to read: "Salisbury is the Principal Settlement within the HMA. It is intended to be the primary focus for development, providing significant levels of jobs and homes. Two site allocations of more than 500 dwellings <i>provide a large source of supply</i> are important to ensuring there is a surety of supply to the end of the <u>Plan</u> period to ensure and that the City achieves the role set out in the spatial strategy: <u>Churchfields</u> <u>Fugglestone Red</u> and land at Netherhampton Road. The first is a strategic site allocated in the WCS. The latter of these, <u>land</u> at Netherhampton Road, is an allocation of the Plan.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC30	Paragraph 4.66		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend paragraph to read: " <u>One of the WCS strategic allocations, namely</u> Churchfields, is a strategic mixed-use site that Core Policy 20 of the WCS requires to deliver 1100 dwellings by 2026. To be developed, this site requires substantial employment uses to decant and is now expected to commence later than envisaged and much less land for new housing will be available before <u>beyond the current plan period of</u> 2026. It is a complex regeneration project that will take time to deliver and will require other sites to enable existing businesses to relocate.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC31	Paragraph 4.68		Factual update to reflect the latest published Housing Land Supply Statement (March 2018).	Amend paragraph to read: "Recognising the scale of the site, a generous lead in time is provided for the delivery of Netherhampton Road. The site is not expected to contribute to housing delivery for several	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				years whilst work is carried out to masterplan the site and develop mitigation measures. In the meantime, supply from major schemes such as Fugglestone Red and Longhedge will ensure sufficient supply. Churchfields <u>Fugglestone Red</u> and <u>the</u> Netherhampton Road sites will deliver new homes alongside each other toward the end of the plan period."	
Chapter 5 H	ousing Site A	llocations			
PC32	Policy H1, Table 5.2; Policy H2, Table 5.3; Policy H.3, Table 5.4		Update heading in tables to ensure that the number of dwellings per allocation is referred to in a consistent manner throughout the Plan. Amend text to reflect Table headings in Chapter 4, which refers to 'Approximate dwellings'.	Amend title in third column in tables as follows: "No of dwellings" "Approximate number of dwellings"	This change was introduced as factual update. This change has no implications for the SA of the Plan. No change to the SA Report required.
PC33	Para 5.4	ID: 395940 Rep: 2968, 2973	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites, as well as groundwater.	Amend paragraph after second sentence to read: "Most sites proposed are of more than one hectare, <u>and</u> will therefore require <u>a</u> flood risk assessment <u>(incorporating an</u> <u>assessment of the predicted effects of climate change)</u> in order to ensure that there is no increase in risk of flooding on site and elsewhere, <del>and will comply <u>thereby complying</u> with Core Policy 67 (Flood Risk) with regard to flood risk <u>and national policy</u>. <u>In addition, sites proposed within</u> <u>Source Protection Zones (SPZ) 1 and 2 will need to</u> <u>comply with Core Policy 68 (Water Resources) with</u> <u>applications demonstrating that regard has been paid to</u> <u>the advice set out in the Environment Agency's</u> <u>groundwater protection policy</u>."</del>	resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner). It is considered that this, together with other proposed changes affecting these objectives, will result in an improvement in the score of the Plan policies against these
PC34	Paragraph 5.4	ID: 395940 Rep 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Additional text highlights the need to address climate change and drainage for all development sites.	Insert text at the end of paragraph 5.4: " <u>Consideration should be given to the predicted effects</u> <u>of climate change and proposals should allocate</u> <u>appropriate buffer strips where there is no adjacent</u>	This wording strengthens the Plan in relation to SA Objectives 5a and 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience). It is considered that this, together with other proposed

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				built development. Natural flood management should be incorporated into planning proposals to mitigate new and existing developments."	changes affecting these objectives, will result in an improvement in the score of the Plan policies against these objectives from a minor to a moderate positive. The SA Report has been updated in Table 8-5 and Section 13.5 and Non-Technical Summary.
PC35	New paragraph after para 5.4	ID: 395940 Rep: 2995, ID: 382216 Rep: 3018	In response to comments from Environment Agency and Natural England about the River Avon SAC and phosphate load.	Insert new paragraph to read: "The Environment Agency and Natural England advise that all development within the River Avon catchment should be 'phosphate neutral' for an interim period until 2025. Beyond this time an approach will take account of water company planning, as well as latest Government policy and legislation. This is to guard against a further worsening of the condition of the River Avon Special Area of Conservation (SAC). An annex of the Nutrient Management Plan will explain measures to help deliver phosphate neutral development and how they will be delivered. Some measures are capable of being delivered as a part of housing development. Off-site measures are supported by Community Infrastructure Levy and there is also scope to improve the efficiency of sewage treatment works. The definition of 'phosphate neutral' is the additional phosphorus load generated by new development after controls at source. reduction by treatment and/or off-setting measures leading to no net increase in the total phosphorus load discharged to the River Avon SAC. Core Policy 69 (Protection of the River Avon SAC) applies,"	This change relates to SA Objective 1 to protect all biodiversity and geological features and avoid irreversible losses. Impacts on the River Avon catchment have been considered through the HRA and HRA Addendum; and this additional proposed wording to the Plan provides further support to helping mitigate impacts related to this objective; overall this is considered to result in an improvement in the score of the Plan against SA Objective 1. The SA Report has been updated in Table 8-5 and Section 13.5 and Non Technical Summary.
PC36	Paragraph 5.5	ID: 403793 Rep: 1641	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with the national policy.	Amend existing paragraph 5.5 to read: "Development has the potential to affect the significance of a range of heritage assets within or beyond site boundaries. <u>The Council has produced a high-level Heritage Impact</u> <u>Assessment (HIA) to support the Plan. The HIA</u> <u>identifies and assesses the significance of heritage</u> <u>assets (and their settings) on sites where such matters</u>	This wording strengthens the Plan in relation to SA Objective 6. SA Report updated in Table 8-5 and Table 9-1.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	•			SA Implications	
				will be particula in subsequent p further detailed assessment <u>s</u> will incorporated as p including the imp determination of approach set out (paragraphs 131- Policy 58 (Ensuri Environment) of t archaeological as	Anning appli a <u>site-specific</u> prescribe mea art of a schem ortance of thei olanning applic in National Pla 135) and satis ng the Conser he WCS. This	cations. Where heritage impace sures which will e in order to pro- settings. The sations will follow nning Policy Fra- fy requirements vation of the Hist should include	necessary, teneed to be tect them, the amework of Core		
PC37	Paragraph 5.11	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address drainage for all development sites and clarify the nature of flood risk assessment. Additional change for consistency with PC36.	"As appropriate, a prepared at a lev application. Such consideration wh application. In ma referred to for each but is not limited Assessment, <u>site</u> Biodiversity Repo ( <u>incorporating assessment of t</u>	archaeological assessment where necessary." Amend paragraph 5.11 to read: "As appropriate, additional evidence will need to be prepared at a level of detail to support a planning application. Such new evidence can be used as a material consideration when considering a specific planning application. In many cases, particularly important items are referred to for each allocation. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, <u>site specific</u> Heritage Impact Assessment, Biodiversity Report, Surface Water Management Plan (incorporating a site wide, comprehensive drainage <u>strategy</u> ), Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an <u>assessment of the predicted effects of climate change</u> ), and Transport Statement."		This wording strengthens the Plan in relation to SA Objectives 5a and 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner). It is considered that this, together with other proposed changes affecting these objectives, will result in an improvement in the score of the Plan policies against these objectives from a minor to a moderate positive. The SA Report has been updated in Table 8-5 and Section 13.5 and Non Technical Summary.		
East Wilts	hire Housing	Market Area							
PC38	Policy H1, Table 5.2	<sup>,</sup> H1,	/ H1, To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire		Amend Table 5.2 allocations at Ma			erence to	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.
			the WHSAP, for the reasons set out at PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Community Area	Reference	Site Name Empress	No. of dwelling s		
				Tidworth	H1.1	Way, Ludgershall	270		

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Chang	ge		SA Implications	
					<u>H1.2</u>	Underhill Nursery, Market Lavington Southcliffe,	<del>50</del>	
				<del>Devizes</del>	H1.3	Harket Lavington East of	<del>15</del>	
					H1.4	Lavington School, Market Lavington	<u>15</u>	
PC39	Paragraph		Update to paragraph and deletion of	Amend text:	++++	Lavington	<u> </u>	Refer to SA implications set out
	5.14		footnote to reflect that in the East HMA the only allocation is at Tidworth CA, following the proposed deletion of allocations at Market Lavington, in the Devizes CA. for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	"How these <u>this</u> <u>Tidworth</u> Comr <sup>16</sup> Tidworth Con (June 2017) and Wiltshire Counc	munity Area To nmunity Area <sup>-</sup> d Devizes Cor	under PC7 above.		
Housing All	ocation H1.1	Empress Way, I						
PC40	Paragraph 5.21	ID: 1126553 Rep: 953	In response to concerns raised by Southern Water to provide clarity on water infrastructure and due to proximity of sewage treatment works.	point of adequ <u>advised by the</u> <u>should be info</u> <u>undertaken in</u>	will provide a pate capacity e service prov rmed by an o consultation	a connection to th in the sewerage r rider. Developmen dour assessmen with Southern W	network, as nt layout t, to be ater."	This change relates to SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) and SA Objective 4 (Improve air quality throughout Wiltshire) for Policy H1.1. This text confirms and strengthens the requirements at the site should it be developed and therefore contributes towards mitigation of adverse effects; on balance, it is not considered that this changes the overall score of the SA of the Plan policies against this objective.
PC41	Paragraph 5.19	ID: 758096 / 758092	To provide clarity on how timing of access point will be determined	Amend last ser	ntence of para	graph 5.19 to read	l:	This change clarifies text. It has no implications for the SA.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
		Rep: 3082		"Transport assessment will <u>determine the trigger point for</u> <u>the delivery of the access via Simonds Road and</u> inform detailed measures to mitigate impacts on the local road network, including the A342 Andover Road, Memorial Junction and the capacity of the signals on the nearby railway bridge.	
PC42	Paragraph 5.20	ID: 758096 / 758092 Rep: 3082	Improved clarity. To clarify the position should land for a school not be required.		This change clarifies text. It relates to SA Objective 9 (Reduce poverty and deprivation and promote more inclusive and self- contained communities). The provision of a school on site stated within the policy H1.1 addresses potential school capacity issues (SA Objective 9); it is assumed that if the land is not required for the school, that capacity issues have been addressed and therefore it is not anticipated that this will have an impact on the SA score against this objective; no change to the SA or the SA Report is proposed.
PC43	Policy H1.1	ID: 382216 Rep: 3018	Improves context. In response to comment from Natural England to ensure sufficient weight is given to public rights of way.	way LUDG1, LUDG2 and LUDG34 through the development of the site."	This change clarifies text. It relates to SA Objective 7 (Conserve and enhance the character and quality of Wiltshire's rural and urban landscapes). The SA already notes that footpaths could be retained as part of the development, and there may be an opportunity to enhance these routes. These policy wording strengthens the text of the Plan, however it is assessed that overall this does not change the scoring against this objective due to other impacts related to views. No change to the SA or the SA Report is proposed.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers		Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC44	Paragraph 5.21	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need for flood risk assessment and to address drainage for all development sites.	Amend paragraph to read: "The site design will be led by a strong landscape framework. Significant additional screening at the southern and eastern site boundaries would be required, along with landscaping and green infrastructure throughout the site as there are middle and long_distance views of the site from the south. The final design and layout should be informed by a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment-, <u>Flood</u> <u>Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the</u> <u>predicted effects of climate change) and</u> <u>comprehensive drainage strategy.</u> "	This wording strengthens the Policy in relation to SA Objectives 5a and 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes, though due to other factors recorded in Annex I for this site, no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. No change to the SA or the SA Report is proposed.
	nmunity Area	Remainder			
PC45	Section titled 'Devizes Community Area Remainder'		Update to reflect that housing allocations are no longer proposed at Market Lavington, for the reasons explained in PC46, PC47 and PC48.	Delete section title ' <del>Devizes Community Area Remainder</del> ' and delete paragraphs 5.22 – 5.24. Renumber subsequent paragraphs.	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.
		Inderhill Nurse	ry, Market Lavington		
PC46	Housing Allocation H1.2	Southcliffe, Mar	To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, all sites at Market Lavington are proposed to be deleted from the WHSAP. The reason for this is that there is a comfortable five-year housing land supply position in the East HMA such that there is not a strategic need for sites to be allocated through the WHSAP at Market Lavington. Furthermore, significant progress has been made on the preparation of the Market Lavington Neighbourhood Plan, and given the strong five-year supply position in the East HMA, the Council can defer the consideration of potential housing allocations at Market Lavington to the emerging neighbourhood plan.	Delete section title 'H <del>1.2 Underhill Nursery, Market</del> Lavington'; delete site boundary map at Figure 5.2; delete paragraphs 5.25 to 5.33.	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC47	Housing Allocation H1.3		To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, all sites at Market Lavington are proposed to be deleted from the WHSAP. The reason for this is that there is a comfortable five year housing land supply position in the East HMA such that there is not a strategic imperative for sites to be allocated through the WHSAP at Market Lavington. Furthermore, significant progress has been made on the preparation of the Market Lavington Neighbourhood Plan, and given the strong five-year supply position in the East HMA, the Council can defer the consideration of potential housing allocations at Market Lavington to the emerging neighbourhood plan.		Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.
PC48	Housing Allocation H1.4		ton School, Market Lavington To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, all sites at Market Lavington are proposed to be deleted from the WHSAP. The reason for this is that there is a comfortable five-year housing land supply position in the East HMA such that there is not a strategic imperative for sites to be allocated through the WHSAP at Market Lavington. Furthermore, significant progress has been made on the preparation of the Market Lavington Neighbourhood Plan, and given the strong five-year supply position in the East HMA, the Council can defer the consideration of potential housing allocations at Market Lavington to the emerging neighbourhood plan.	Renumber subsequent paragraphs.	Refer to SA implications set out under PC7 above.
North and W	Vest Wiltshire	Housing Mark			
PC49	Paragraph 5.40		Update to reflect that allocations are no longer proposed within Malmesbury CA, for the reasons set out at PC92.	Amend text of paragraph 5.40 to read: "Other allocations are made at Warminster, a Market Town, to support its role and at designated Large Villages in the rural parts of Chippenham, Malmesbury	Refer to SA implications set out under PC9.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Chan	ge			SA Implications		
				Community Are accordance wit		support local ne Policy 2."	eeds in			
PC50	Paragraph 5.41, footnote 18		Update to reflect that allocations are no longer proposed within Malmesbury CA, for the reasons set out at PC92.	Council (June 2 Paper, Wiltshire Community Are 2017), Malmesi	Community A 2017), Warmi e Council (Ju ea Topic Pape <del>bury Commu</del> 2 <del>017)</del> and We	rea Topic Paper Inster Communit ne 2017), Chipp er, Wiltshire Cou nity Area Topic estbury Commun ne 2017)."	y Area Topic enham ıncil (June <del>Paper, Wiltshire</del>	Refer to SA implications set out under PC9.		
PC51	Policy H2, Table 5.3		Updates to reflect amendments to housing site capacities/ densities of allocations at	Amend Table 5.3 within Policy H2 to amend number of dwell			Trowbridge – refer to PC55, PC60, PC64 and PC70.			
			Trowbridge (see PC55, PC60, PC64 and	Community	Referenc		No. of	Bratton – refer to PC94.		
			PC70) and at Bratton (see PC94); removal of housing allocation at Crudwell (see	Area	е	Site Name Elm Grove	dwellings	Crudwell – refer to PC9. H2.14 to be renumbered as H2.13		
			PC92); and consequential site reference			Farm,		throughout SA Report.		
			number amendment (see PC93).	Trowbridge	H2.1	Trowbridge	<del>200</del> <u>250</u>			
				Trowbridge	H2.2	Land off the A363 at White Horse Business Park, Trowbridge	150 <u>225</u> <u>175</u>			
				Trowbridge	H2.3	Elizabeth Way, Trowbridge	<del>205</del> 355			
				Trowbridge	H2.5	Upper Studley, Trowbridge	<del>20</del> <u>45</u>			
				Malmesbury	H2.13	<del>Ridgeway</del> <del>Farm,</del> Crudwell	<del>50</del>			
					H2.14	Off B3089 adj. to Court				
1				Westbury	<u>H2.13</u>	Orchard /	40 <u>35</u>			

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Ρ	roposed Chang	je			SA Implications
							Cassways, Bratton		
PC52	Paragraphs 5.44, 5.49, 5.55, 5.62, 5.71,5.76 and 5.82.	ID: 382216 Rep: 3018-	Improve clarity. The current title of the <i>Trowbridge</i> <i>Recreation Management Mitigation</i> <i>Strategy,</i> implies it is solely concerned with recreation and not habitat related matters. Amend title to reflect contents of <i>Strategy.</i>		Amend title of T Mitigation Strate " <i>Trowbridge B</i>	egy to read:		agement	This change was introduced as a factual update. The SA Report has been updated as appropriate. It has no implications for the SA of the Plan.
PC53	Paragraph 5.44		Factual update to appropriately reflect the strategic importance of: a) delivering a new primary school; and b) ensuring that new school capacity is delivered in a timely and effective manner to cater for increased pupil numbers.		needing primary across the town development. A directed south/s directly to the n <u>Moreover, any</u> <u>delivered as a</u> <u>occurring on c</u> <u>ensure that su</u> <u>available to se</u> addition to land	elopment wi school place affects prop Vith the majo couth-west o eed for a new <u>new primal</u> <u>strategic prin</u> <u>strategic prin</u> <u>ther allocat</u> <u>fficient prin</u> <u>rve the loca</u> reserved for Il be sought	Ill increase the ces. A local lac osals allocated ority of propose f the town, the w primary school ry school will fiority with dev tions in a time hary school ca f community.	d for ed housing being evidence points ol in this area. <u>need to be</u> <u>velopment</u> <u>ly manner to</u> <u>pacity is</u> Therefore, in	development in Trowbridge. The score for Policy H2 against this objective has been reviewed; currently, mixed effects are identified. It is assessed that mixed effects will still occur, however, that this additional wording will result in a moderate as opposed to minor positive effect for Policy H2 against SA Objective 9. Table 8-5 has been updated.
Housing All	ocation H2.1 E	Im Grove Farm	n, Trowbridge						
PC54		ID: 901939 /	To amend site boundary and include adjoining land within the Council's ownership, but in trust by the National Playing Fields Association (operating as Fields in Trust charity), to allow for the relocation of the primary school on this land and enhanced community recreational	re	Amend the bou And first senten ead: "Approximately Farm"	ce of Policy	H2.1 and para	graph 5.46 to	; Figures in the SA Report have been updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 14.95ha. The scores for this site have been reviewed, in particular in relation to
			facilities as part of the wider development. The extended site will enable the delivery of the school early in the site's development		raiiii				SA Objective 2, however overall it is not considered that the increase in size affect the scores against the SA objectives for this site.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
			consistent with the strategic priority identified in PC53.		
PC55	Policy H2, Policy H2.1, Paragraph 5.46	ID: 901939 / 901806 Rep: 1816	To reflect the increase in site area consistent with PC54 and clarify the requirements for the use of the land, and associated provision of open space facilities. The increased site area has allowed for an uplift in housing numbers maximising the efficient use of land.	<ul> <li>"Approximately 200 <u>250</u> dwellings"</li> <li>Amend 2<sup>nd</sup> bullet point of Policy H2.1 to read:</li> <li>"At least 1.8ha of land for a two-form entry primary school along with playing pitches <u>on land owned by the Council, but held in Trust (the existing Queen Elizabeth II Field)</u>;"</li> <li>Amend 4th bullet point of Policy 2.1 to read:</li> </ul>	Figures in the SA Report have been updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site with approximately 231 dwellings. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in dwelling numbers (i.e. an increase of 19 dwellings) at this overall scale of development does not affect the SA for this site Policy. The second and third amendments are factual and, while the later consolidates the purpose of the public open space, these changes are considered to have no implications for the SA.
PC56	Policy H2.1 6th bullet	ID: 901939 / 901806 Rep: 1816	Factual update to reflect the need for cycling and walking routes to integrate with the adjoining employment area		This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC57	Paragraph 5.47	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	" <u>Proposals to develop the site will need to be supported</u> by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change) and comprehensive drainage strategy."	This wording strengthens the site Policy in relation to SA Objectives 5a and 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
					against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes, though due to other factors recorded in Annex I for this site, no change to the site policy scoring is proposed and no updates to the SA Report are considered necessary.
PC58	Paragraph 5.50		In response to comments from Heritage England to ensure the setting of assets is considered and to recognise in accordance with national policy, further detailed assessments of heritage would likely be required to guide layout and design at the planning application stage.	Amend paragraph to read: "Access to the site would need to be holistically planned with upgrades required to Drynham Lane, along with the construction of a connection to the A363 designed as a through-route anticipating future traffic growth. New and improved walking and cycling routes to existing and planned local services would encourage future residents to use sustainable forms of transport. The site has a medium potential for archaeological remains. Therefore any subsequent planning application should be informed by an archaeological assessment. In addition, development will need to minimise the potential to harm the significance of the Grade II Listed Drynham Lane Farmhouse <u>and, where</u> <u>appropriate, its setting</u> . Measures may also be necessary to prevent potential noise pollution from the existing main road and railway. These considerations should be addressed through the process of detailed design and layout which should be informed through a Heritage Impact Assessment. <u>by detailed assessments (including</u> <u>heritage) to support any subsequent planning</u> <u>application</u> ."	Wording of the SA Report has been updated. This has no impact on the SA of the Plan.
Housing Allo	ocation H2.2 L	and off the A36	3 at White Horse Business Park, Trowbridg		
PC59	Figure 5.6 Paragraph 5.52	ID: 1114350 Rep: 18 - ID: 1115490 / 1115452 Rep: 21	Factual update. Amend site boundary to reflect land ownership and also to exclude site that has now been developed.	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex C; And first sentence of paragraph 5.52 to read: "Approximately <del>25.62</del> <b><u>18.96</u></b> ha of land off the A363 south- west of the White Horse Business park is allocated for the development"	Numbers in the SA Report have been updated at 8.3.69. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 23ha. The SA of the site has been reviewed,
		ID: 1120664 / 1115452			however overall the scoring is not considered to change due to other

Proposed	Policy/	Key Issue/	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
change ref	Para	Rep			
Number	reference	Numbers			
		Rep: 131 ID: 1125881 Rep: 723 ID: 403859 Rep: 1457 ID: 1130978 / 1130975			factors that contribute to the overall scores. The change has been identified in Chapter 8 however the reduction in site size does not affect the score for this site Policy.
		Rep: 1832			
PC60	Policy H2, Table 5.3; Paragraph 5.52	ID: 8090227 / 1132859 Rep: 3074 ID: 1137984 / 1130975 Rep: 3142-	To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, the capacity of allocation H2.2 is proposed to be amended. It was previously proposed through a schedule of proposed changes put before May Cabinet <sup>2</sup> that the site capacity should be increased from 150 dwellings to 225 dwellings in order to maximise efficient use of land consistent with heritage and ecological constraints. However, as a result of subsequent further consultation is was resolved by Cabinet in July 2018 that the figure of 225 should be reduced by 50 dwellings, to 175. This would better enable the provision of a landscape buffer between Trowbridge and the village of North Bradley.	Amend first sentence of paragraph 5.52 as follows: "land off the A363 south-west of the White Horse Business Park is allocated for the development of approximately 150 225 175 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	Given the size of this site, the reduction in numbers by 50 is not considered to materially change the outcome of the SA. The change in dwellings proposed in Policy H2.2 has been factually updated in the SA Report at: Non-Technical Summary SA Report: * Amend Table 8.1 * Amend Table 8.3 * Update of total dwellings figures in Table 8.5, under SA Objectives 8 and 9 for Policy H2 * Update total dwelling number in Section 13.3.1.
PC61	New para after 5.56	ID: 403792 Rep: 1642	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact	Insert new paragraph after paragraph 5.56 to read:	This is in relation to Site 298 – Land off A363 at White Horse Business

Atkins

25

<sup>2</sup> https://cms.wiltshire.gov.uk/documents/s143967/Appendix%202%20-%20Table%201%20-%20Schedule%20of%20Proposed%20Changes%20-%2017%20May%202018.pdf

Atkins

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
			Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with national policy.	"As identified in the Council's Heritage Impact Assessment, the site is an historic agricultural landscape and comprises a cluster of historic farmsteads where the farm houses and ancillary buildings may be susceptible to setting change. This includes Kings Farmhouse (Grade II listed), Willow Grove (Grade II listed), Little Common Farm (non- designated asset), Manor Farmhouse (Grade 2 listed) and Woodmarsh Farm (non-designated asset). An area of the site also includes a Baptist cemetery with an ornamental gateway structure (Grade II listed) and curtilage listed perimeter walls. The archaeological potential of the site is likely to be high. At the planning application stage, the layout and design of the site would need to give great weight to conserving the significance of these heritage assets and their setting in order to minimise harm."	Park. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I identifies the heritage important of these features. The change strengthens the need for protection of these features and addresses the minor adverse effect on SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) of Policy H2.2; however, no change to the score of site or Policy H2 against SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) is proposed as it is still considered that minor impacts could occur as a result of development.
PC62	Existing Paragraph 5.56	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	approach to the town, whilst protecting the integrity of North Bradley as a village. <u>In addition, any subsequent</u> <u>planning application will need to be supported by a</u> <u>Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment</u>	This wording strengthens the site Policy in relation to SA 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation (Table 8-5 has been updated to this effect). The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes, though due to other factors recorded in Annex I for this site, no change to the site policy scoring is proposed as there could still be a minor adverse effect or a moderate positive effect (depending on the plans implemented).
Housing Allo	Figure 5.7,	Iizabeth Way, T ID: 392036 /	rowbridge Factual update.	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex D.	Numbers in the SA Report have
PC63	Paragraph 5.58	1126545 Rep: 935		And first sentence of paragraph 5.58 to read:	been updated in Chapter 8.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
		ID: 1131752 / 1131750 Rep 2119	Amend site boundary, as identified incorrectly, to align with Elizabeth Way Relief Road.	"Approximately <del>16.33</del> <u>21.24</u> ha of land to the South West of Elizabeth Way is allocated for the development"	The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered the two sites making up this policy (263 and 297) covering an area of approximately 16ha. Given that this site size, together with the number of dwellings (see PC64 below) has increased, a review of the SA is considered necessary. Further details are provided below.
PC64	, Paragraph 5.58	ID: 392036 / 1126545 Rep: 935 ID: 1131752 / 1131750 Rep: 2119 Rep 2126 ID: 1131752 / 1131750 Reps 890 ID: 1054271 Rep: 934 ID: 392036 / 1126545 / 959840630 ID: 895670 Rep 1915		Amend first sentence in paragraph 5.58 as follows: " land to the South West of Elizabeth Way is allocated for the development of approximately 205 355 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	Numbers in the SA Report have been updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered the two sites making up this policy (263 and 297) covering approximately 255 dwellings. Given that this site size, together with the number of dwellings, has increased, a review of the SA of the site policy is considered necessary. An increase in the number of dwellings could result in further impact against SA Objective 3 in terms of the site needing to make provision for a reinforcement of the local water utility infrastructure in order to establish a connection, however it is felt that the Schedule of Proposed Changes on flood risk and drainage (see further change proposed below) addresses this issue and therefore no change to this score is proposed. In relation to SA Objective 4 (Improve air quality throughout Wiltshire and minimise all sources

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
					of environmental pollution), given the likely increase in additional car journeys this could result in, it is considered that the effect would change from minor to moderate adverse. Overall, the increase in dwellings proposed will result in an overall major positive effect for this site on SA Objective 8 and an overall moderate positive effect on Objective 11 (Encourage a vibrant and diversified economy and provide for long-term sustainable economic growth). All other SA scores would remain the same as for assessment of Site 263.
PC65	Add to beginning of para 5.64	ID: 403792 Rep: 1643	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with national policy.	Add text to beginning of paragraph 5.64: "The site comprises historic field boundaries and has high archaeological value. It is adjacent to Trowbridge (Hilperton Road) Conservation Area and to Fieldways Highfield (Grade II* listed), a country house. Fieldways Highfield and its setting will need to be conserved in a manner appropriate to its significance. The relationship between development proposals and these heritage assets will need to be rigorously addressed through detailed design including provision for open greenspace in any layout."	The assessment of this site policy (through Site 263 and 297) presented in Annex I identifies the heritage important of these features. The change strengthens the need for protection of these features and addresses the moderate adverse effect on SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage); however, no change to the score against SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) is proposed. No change to the SA Report required.
PC66	Paragraph 5.63	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Amend paragraph to read: "An important measure will be the provision of landscaping between Elizabeth Way and new housing in order to attenuate noise and reduce the visual impact of this road. Consideration of drainage patterns and flood risk from all sources would need to inform any subsequent layout. In addition, surface water attenuation measures and improvements to existing on-site water infrastructure would	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.3) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these objectives has been

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				need to be provided to support a comprehensive development of the site. <u>Proposals will therefore need to</u> <u>be supported by a Flood Risk Assessment</u> (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change) and comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform matters of layout and design."	reviewed in light of these changes, though due to other factors recorded in Annex I for this site policy (covering site 263 and 297), no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. No change to the SA Report required.
Housing All		hurch Lane, Tr			
PC67	Figure 5.8, Paragraph 5.67	ID: 1129173/ 402467 REP :1523	boundary to include land between the current boundary and the river, which allow for land to be used to mitigate bat impacts	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex E. And first sentence of paragraph 5.67 to read: "Approximately <del>3.72</del> <u>5.93</u> ha of land at Church Lane is allocated for the development of approximately 45 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	Numbers in the SA Report have been updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 5.92ha. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in size does not affect the SA for this site Policy.
PC68	Replace Paragraph 5.68 with new text	ID: 403797 Rep: 1644	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with national policy.	Replace 5.68 with new text: "Development proposals would need to ensure that the significance and setting of the Grade II Listed St John's Church would be appropriately protected. To achieve this objective, access to the site would need to be secured via a new junction arrangement off the A361, rather than improvements to Church Lane." "The site is adjacent to the Church of St John (Grade II listed), associated church school and schoolmasters house and is enclosed from the road by two rows of buildings at White Row Hill and Frome Road including Rose Villa (Grade II listed), 344 Frome Road (Grade II listed) and paddocks. There are key views across the site to St John's spire from Southwick Country Park. The site comprises the degraded fragmentary remains of a post medieval water meadow system. The layout and design of the site would need to give great weight to conserving the significance of these heritage assets and their setting to minimise harm. Access to the site must be sensitively designed and accommodated in manner that minimises harm to heritage assets. This would need to be secured via a new junction	The assessment of this site (Site 1021) presented in Annex I identifies the heritage important of these features. The change strengthens the need for protection of these features and addresses the moderate adverse effect of the site/Policy H2.4 on SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage); however, no change to the score against SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) is proposed. No change to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				arrangement off the A361, rather than improvements to Church Lane."	
PC69	Paragraph 5.67	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Add text at the end of paragraph 5.67 as follows: "It is an open site that slopes to the south-west towards the Lambrok Stream. <u>As parts of the site lie within Flood</u> <u>Zones 2 and 3, development proposals will need to be</u> <u>sequentially planned and supported by a Flood Risk</u> <u>Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the</u> <u>predicted effects of climate change). In addition,</u> <u>development proposals will need to be supported by a</u> <u>comprehensive drainage strategy designed to help</u> <u>inform site layout and provide attenuation measures,</u> <u>including Natural Flood Management – i.e. tree and</u> <u>hedgerow planting along the south-west margins of the</u> <u>site to slow the flow of surface water into the Lambrok</u> <u>Stream.</u> "	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.4 Church Lane) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. The SA Report wording has been updated for this policy.
Housing Alle	ocation H2.5 U	Ipper Studley, T			
PC70	Paragraph 5.73	ID: 395553 / 901806 Rep: 1657	To maximise efficient use of land and in response to representation increase the number of dwellings to approximately 45 dwellings, and correct site area	Amend first sentence of paragraph 5.73 to read: "Approximately 2.33 2.27 ha of land at Upper Studley is allocated for the development of approximately 20 45 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	Numbers in the SA Report have been updated in Chapter 8 (Policy H2.5). The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 2.32ha and approximately 52 dwellings. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in size stated in the Schedule of Proposed Changes does not affect the SA for this site Policy.
PC71	Paragraph 5.73	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Add text to end of paragraph 5.73 as follows: "The land slopes towards the stream and is bound to the south by tall, mature poplar trees. <u>As parts of the site lie</u> within Flood Zones 2 and 3, development proposals will need to be sequentially planned and supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change). In addition,	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.5) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against

Proposed	Policy/	Key Issue/	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
change ref	Para	Rep			
Number	reference	Numbers		development proposals will need to be supported by a comprehensive drainage strategy designed to help inform site layout and provide attenuation measures, including Natural Flood Management – i.e. tree and hedgerow planting along the southern margins of the site to slow the flow of surface water into the Lambrok Stream."	these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. The SA Report wording has been updated for this policy.
Housing All		Southwick Cour			<b>T</b>
PC72	Paragraph 5.78	ID: 403792 Rep: 1645	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with the national policy.	Amend paragraph 5.78 to read: "The area is of historic significance as water meadows (non-designated heritage asset) associated with the Grade II* Listed Southwick Court Farmstead that lies to the south of the site. The Southwick Court Farmstead is a heritage asset of significant importance. It is a medieval, manorial farmstead that includes a farmhouse, gatehouse and bridge juxtaposed with later post-medieval/modern additions surrounded by a moat. An essential objective of detailed design will be to minimise harm to its significance. The setting to this heritage asset will be preserved, to the greatest extent possible, informed by the Councils Heritage Impact Assessment and the results of furthermore detailed heritage assessment work to support any subsequent planning application. Heritage Impact Assessment. Taking account of the weight attached to the significance of the asset <u>s</u> , alone and in combination, any residual harm would require a clear and convincing justification within any subsequent planning application and should not be substantial. The social, environmental and economic advantages of the development, including the provision of homes along with significant improvements to biodiversity and provision of open space will achieve substantial public benefits. A sensitively designed, comprehensive development scheme will need to minimise harm by ensuring ensure that new homes are directed to the east of the Lambrok Stream and built in a manner that respects both the topography of the land and existing urban form to the immediate north. Land to the west may become either	The assessment of this site (3565) presented in Annex I identifies the heritage importance of these features. In the consideration of this site policy (H2.6), the Proposed Change strengthens the need for protection of these features and addresses the moderate adverse effect on SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage); however, no change to the score against SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) is proposed. No change to the SA Report wording required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				formal or informal open space or remain in agricultural use, but will not be developed for new homes. The character of the area will therefore help to retain the high significance of <u>Southwick Court and associated</u> this heritage asset <u>s</u> ."	
PC73	Paragraph 5.79	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Add text to end of paragraph 5.79, as follows: "The Lambrok Stream and its respective flood plain should be enhanced as a local amenity feature of the site in conjunction with development proposed at Upper Studley above. <u>As parts of the site lie within Flood</u> <u>Zones 2 and 3, development proposals will need to be</u> <u>sequentially planned and supported by a Flood Risk</u> <u>Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the</u> <u>predicted effects of climate change). In addition,</u> <u>development proposals will need to be supported by a</u> <u>comprehensive drainage strategy designed to help</u> <u>inform site layout and provide attenuation measures,</u> <u>including Natural Flood Management – i.e. tree and</u> <u>hedgerow planting along the northern margins of the</u> <u>site to slow the flow of surface water into the Lambrok</u> <u>Stream and associated field drainage systems</u> ."	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.6) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. The SA Report wording has been updated for this policy.
Warminster					
PC74	New paragraph under 5.87	ID: 903251 Rep: 2396	Improve clarity. Highways England has raised that there may be cumulative impacts on the A36 arising from proposed housing allocations at Warminster and this requires consideration.	Add new paragraph under 5.87 as follows: " <u>Developments will be required to address any direct or</u> <u>indirect cumulative impacts on the A36</u> ."	This relates to objective 10 (Reduce the need to travel and promote more sustainable transport choices) and strengthens the text in the Policy related specifically to the A36; it is not considered that this will improve the mixed effects (minor positive/minor negative) of Policy H2 (Table 8-5) against this Objective and therefore no change to the policy scoring is proposed. No change to the SA Report wording required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC75	Paragraph 5.87	ID: 706891 Rep 1512 ID: 397127 Rep: 2911 ID: 395940 Rep: 2990	In response to comments from Environment Agency and Natural England about the River Avon SAC and phosphate load.	Amend paragraph 5.87 as follows: "Development could contribute cumulatively towards adverse impacts on the qualifying features of the River Avon SAC through increased phosphate loading and habitat loss/damage. However, the scale of development is within the thresholds set down in <u>As such</u> , a Nutrient Management Plan <u>seeks to</u> for the river that avoids the likelihood of adverse effects. Nonetheless, impacts are kept under review and this situation may change. <u>For an</u> <u>interim period</u> , developments within the River Avon <u>SAC catchment should be phosphate neutral</u> , which will be defined in a Memorandum of Understanding with Natural England and Environment Agency. Measures will therefore need to be in place to ensure that developments do not contribute to a net increase <u>in phosphates for the River Avon SAC</u> . Housing developers might consider how schemes can offset the additional phosphate loading resulting from new homes and specific measures will be set out in the annex to the Nutrient Management Plan."	This has been reviewed and updates to the SA Report made in Table 8-5; Whilst Policy H2 will still score mixed effects against this Objective as some loss of biodiversity will be inevitable (even if replaced), it is considered in relation the positive effect, that this will result in a change from a minor to a moderate positive effect against SA Objective 1. The SA Report has been updated.
Housing All	ocation H2.7 E	East of the Den	e, Warminster		
PC76	Paragraph 5.89	ID: 403792 Rep: 1646	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with the national policy.	Amend paragraph 5.89 to read: " <u>Bishopstrow Conservation Area encloses the site on</u> <u>two sides and there are a number of historic buildings</u> <u>within close proximity to the site boundary, including</u> <u>Bishopstow House (Grade II listed) and its designed</u> <u>landscape, as well as Bishopstrow Home Farm (non- designated heritage asset). The archaeological potential</u> <u>on the site is high.</u> The main access will be from Boreham Road but the south-west part of the site is considered to be unsuited to built development because of its sensitivity in heritage and landscape terms. This land may remain in agricultural use or becomes either formal or informal open space, but will be undeveloped so the character of the area continues to preserve the significance of heritage assets.	This change was introduced to provide clarification of the text. It has no implications for the SA. No update to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC77	Paragraph 5.90	ID: 403792 Rep: 1646	Improve context. In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with the national policy.	Amend paragraph 5.90 to read: " <u>The design and layout of the site will need to give great</u> <u>weight to conserving the significance of these heritage</u> <u>assets to minimise harm. Access to the site must be</u> <u>accommodated in a sensitive manner</u> . The design of <del>ant</del> he access point should also minimise <u>and mitigate</u> the loss of the high wall that is characteristic of this approach to the town. Secondary access, in particular for cycling and walking, should also be sought through The Dene and improvements should be made to footpath WARM40."	This change was introduced to provide clarification of the text. It has no implications for the SA. No update to the SA Report required.
PC78	Paragraph 5.91	ID: 403792 Rep: 1646 ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	In response to comments from Heritage England. To reflect the Heritage Impact Assessment undertaken by the Council and ensure appropriate consideration is given to heritage assets and their settings consistent with the national policy. Additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk for all development sites.	Amend paragraph 5.91 to read: "The site has a number of heritage and related landscape considerations. A sensitively designed scheme should be brought forward which has been informed by a- <u>the</u> <u>Council's</u> Heritage Impact Assessment and <u>further</u> <u>detailed site specific assessments required to support</u> <u>the planning application. Development will need to</u> appropriately responds to the character and location <u>al</u> <u>context</u> of the site and <u>robustly</u> respects the significance of the following heritage assets: Listed Buildings in the vicinity of the site, including Bishopstrow House Bishopstrow Conservation Area Views from Battlesbury Camp hillfort <u>In addition, development proposals will need to be</u> <u>supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating</u> <u>an assessment of the predicted effects of climate</u> <u>change</u> )."	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H.27) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and in particular SA Objective 1 (Biodiversity) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed. However, the SA Report wording has been updated for this policy to reflect the mitigation measures proposed.
Housing Allo	ocation H2.8 E	Bore Hill Farm, N	Warminster		
PC79	Policy H2 Table 5.3 Paragraph 5.93	ID: 1137935/ 556489 Rep: 3061	Factual update. Amend site boundary to reflect land available for development and to maximise	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex F. And first sentence of paragraph 5.93 to read:	The assessment of this site presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 5.23ha. As such, it is considered that this
			efficient use of land increase the number of dwellings.	"Approximately 4.47 <b>4.83</b> ha of land at Bore Hill Farm/Bradley Road, as shown on the Policies Map"	minor amendment in size does not affect the SA for this site Policy.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
					However, updated numbers added to this policy.
PC80	Paragraph 5.94	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to: address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk; and address issues associated with the waste management facility.	Amend paragraph to read: "The site is formed of land between the A36 and Deverill Road which lies adjacent to the Bore Hill Farm bio-digester. <u>Considering the site context, any subsequent</u> <u>development proposals (e.g. layout and screening) will</u> <u>need to take account of potential issues associated</u> <u>with the operational waste management facility, these</u> <u>may include: noise, dust and odour.</u> There is some limited screening on the north boundary with existing development at Bradley Close and Ludlow Close. Additional landscape screening at the site boundaries would be required to preserve and maintain the living conditions of adjoining residential dwellings. Vehicular access will be provided from Deverill Road, and connection to and improvement of public right of way WARM60 should be provided. <u>In addition, development proposals will need</u> <u>to be supported by a Flood Risk Assessment</u> <u>(incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of</u> <u>climate change).</u> "	The change relates to the potential issues associated with the operational waste management facility have been identified in the SA of this site (1032, Policy H2.8); this wording helps strengthen the policy and this has been added to the SA Report. The change relating to FRA and avoiding impacts on the SAC/SSSI strengthens the site Policy (H2.8) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and in particular SA Objective 1 (Biodiversity) in terms of required mitigation. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.
Housing Allo	ocation H2.9 E	oreham Road,	Warminster		
PC81	Paragraph 5.99	ID: 403792 Rep 1646	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to reflect the advice provided by Historic England.	Amend paragraph to read: "Whilst situated outside the Bishopstrow Conservation Area, the site is considered to lie within the setting of this designated heritage asset. Development of the site would therefore need to respond positively to its surroundings and have due regard to the special character or appearance of the Conservation Area. <u>A Heritage Impact Assessment In</u> <u>line with national policy, an assessment of heritage</u> <u>assets and their significance (including the contribution</u> <u>made by their setting)</u> would be required in order to support any subsequent proposals, including the design of mitigation measures. The setting of heritage assets will be	Updates to wording of SA Report made; no impact on the SA of the Plan or update to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				protected so as to ensure, as far as practicable, there will be no substantial harm to their significance."	
PC82	Paragraph 5.100	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Delete paragraph 5.100 and replace with text to read: "Development of the site would need to be supported and informed by a Drainage Strategy and water infrastructure capacity assessment. Where necessary, details relating to the reinforcement of existing foul/storm water drainage arrangements would need to be submitted with any subsequent planning application. Drainage measures for the attenuation and management of surface water would need to capable of achieving greenfield, or better, infiltration rates. Parts of the site lie within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Therefore development proposals will need to be sequentially planned and supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change). In addition, development proposals will need to be supported by a comprehensive drainage strategy and water infrastructure capacity assessment. Where necessary, details relating to the reinforcement of existing foul/storm water drainage arrangements will need to be submitted with any subsequent planning application."	The change helps clarify and strengthen the site Policy (H2.9) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience) in terms of required mitigation, and updated wording has been added to this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.
Housing Allo	ocation H2.10	Barters Farm, (	Chapmanslade		
PC83	Paragraph 5.103	ID: 382216 Rep: 3018	Increased clarity. Ensure sufficient weight is given to public rights of way in the allocations to address concerns raised by Natural England.	Add text to the end of paragraph 5.103 to read: "Public right of way CHAP14 runs along the northern boundary of the site. This will be retained and enhanced through the development of the site."	This change clarifies that the PRoW will be retained and enhanced through the development of the site (Site 316), contributing positively to SA Objective 7 (landscape). Due to other consideration, it is not considered that this changes the overall score of the SA of the Plan policy H2.10 against this objective. No changes

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC84	Paragraph 5.103	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Add text to the end of paragraph 5.103: ". <u>Considering the size of the site, any subsequent</u> <u>planning application will need to be supported by a</u> <u>Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment</u> <u>of the predicted effects of climate change) and</u> <u>comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform</u> <u>matters such as layout and design</u> ."	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.10) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation, and this wording has been added to the SA Report for this policy. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed as the full impacts at this stage on drainage are unknown and will therefore require further study.
	Paragraph	The Street, Hull ID: 1133384 /		Amend text to read:	The allocation has been included in
PC85	5.105	825048 Rep: 2535	Amend reference to the school area to refer to the correct size of 0.2 hectares.	"Approximately 2.44ha of land adjacent to the Primary School is allocated for the development of approximately 50 dwellings and <del>0.25</del> <u>0.2</u> ha to allow for the expansion of the primary school, as shown on the Policies Map."	section 8.3.152 of the SA Report. This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC86	Paragraph 5.107	ID: 1133384 / 825048 Rep: 2535	Factual update. Remove first and second sentences which refers to land to the north of the proposed allocation.	Amend text to read: "A sufficient buffer should be provided to the watercourse to the north of the site to safeguard the function of the tributary to the River Gauze. It also provides options to deliver public open space and biodiversity enhancement. Mature hedgerows and trees would be retained and planting Barberry will enhance habitat for the Barberry Carpet moth, a priority species of the BAP. Development would need to retain the historic footpath through the site to the surrounding countryside. Moreover, footpaths HULL29, HULL1 and HULL33 should be retained and improved as part of the development of the site."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.

Proposed change ref Number	Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers		Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC87	Paragraph 5.107	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites, as well as groundwater.	Additional text to be added to the end of the paragraph: "Considering the size of the site any subsequent planning application will need to be supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change) and comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform matters such as layout and design. In addition, as the site lies within Groundwater Protection Zones 1 and 2, development proposals will need to comply with Core Policy 68 (Water resources) with applications demonstrating that regard has been paid to the advice set out in the Environment Agency's groundwater protection policy."	This wording strengthens the site Policy in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation, and wording has been added to this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.
Housing Alle	ocation H2.12:	East of Farrell	s Field, Yatton Keynell		
PC88	Figure 5.16 Paragraph 5.109		Factual update. The site boundary is identified incorrectly and should be amended to remove the track running along the western boundary of the site.	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex G. And first sentence of paragraph 5.109 to read: "Land East of Farrells Field, Yatton Keynell is allocated for the development of approximately 30 dwellings on approximately 1.3 <u>1.2</u> ha of land, as shown on the Policies Map."	Numbers in SA Report updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site policy presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 1.32ha. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in size does not affect the SA for this site Policy.
PC89	Paragraph 5.109	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites, as well as groundwater.	Amend paragraph after first sentence, as follows: "Considering the size of the site any subsequent planning application will need to be supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change) and comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform matters such as layout and design. In addition, as the site lies within Groundwater Protection Zone 2 development proposals will need to comply with Core Policy 68 (Water resources) with applications demonstrating that regard has been paid to the advice set out in the Environment Agency's groundwater	This wording strengthens the site Policy (H2.12) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation and addresses the recommendation made in the SA Report of the Draft Plan; additional wording has been added in this SA Report for this policy. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				to local services and facilities. The site It is in agricultural	change to the site policy scoring is proposed as a site assessment will be required to understand the impact.
PC90	Paragraph 5.110		Factual update. The site boundary is to be amended to remove the track running along the western boundary of the site. Consequential change to removed text relating to woodland corridor should also be removed.	Amend text to read: "A woodland corridor along the western boundary should be retained as a wildlife corridor. Retention of the existing boundary vegetation"	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC91	Paragraph 5.110		To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, reference to vehicular access being taken from Farrells Field is proposed to be removed from paragraph 5.110.	Amend text to read: "on site would provide screening to reduce the effect on adjacent visual receptors and be in keeping with the existing landscape character. Access would be taken from Farrell Fields and t <u>T</u> he possibility to link to adjacent footpaths should be explored."	An update has been to SA Report Annex I "Site 482 - Land East of Farrells Field, Yatton Keynell", SA Objective 10 DAQ 1 "Direct vehicular access to this site is <del>considered to</del> <del>be achievable either</del> available from the B4039 <del>or through Farrell fields,</del> which has a footway." SA Report updated at section 7.4.76 to amend as follows: "Direct vehicular access to this site is <del>considered to be achievable either</del> available from the B4039 <del>or through</del> Farrell fields, which has a footway." No other implications for SA.
		Ridgeway Fa			
PC92	Housing Allocation H2.13		To reflect the resolution of Wiltshire Council's Cabinet, the site at Crudwell is proposed to be deleted from the WHSAP. The reason for this is that in the wider context of completions that have already occurred in the Malmesbury CA over the	Delete section titled 'Malmesbury Community Area Remainder' and 'H2.13 Ridgeway Farm, Crudwell'; delete site boundary map at Figure 5.17; delete paragraphs 5.111 to 5.114. Renumber subsequent paragraphs.	Factual updates in the SA Report made. The deletion of the Malmesbury CA Remainder section and this site at Crudwell does not affect the assessment of

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
			WCS plan period, there is no longer a strategic need to allocate a site in the community area through the WHSAP. Given that good progress has been made on the preparation of the Crudwell Neighbourhood Plan, which seeks to allocate sites, evidence indicates that the Council can defer housing allocation options to be developed through the emerging neighbourhood plan, rather than through the WHSAP process.		this site in the SA Report at Chapter 7. However, the changes in total housing supply that this will result in require a factual amendment in the SA Report in Table 8-5 and Chapter 13. Refer to PC9 above.
Housing All PC93	ocation H2.14: Housing Allocation H2.14; Figure 5.18		/Cassways, Bratton Consequential update to housing allocation reference number, to reflect deletion of allocation at Crudwell as set out in PC92.	Amend Policy title as follows: H2.14 <u>H2.13</u> Court Orchard / Cassways, Bratton Amend Figure 5.18 title as follows: Figure 5.18 H2.14 <u>H2.13</u> Court Orchard / Cassways, Bratton	This change was introduced as a factual update; the policy number has been updated in the SA Report. This change has no implications for the SA.
PC94	Paragraph 5.116	ID: 1126059 Rep: 19 ID: 1125220 Rep: 499 ID: 1125255 Rep: 502 ID: 1125408 Rep: 545 ID: 1126059 Rep: 929 ID: 1124313 Rep: 1024, 1028, 1019 ID: 1129546	In response to comments received raising concerns about the density of development. Subsequent discussion with promoters of the site suggests that the developable capacity should be reduced to 35 dwellings to allow for a more sensitively designed development.	Amend first sentence of paragraph 5.116 to read: "Approximately 1.35ha of land at Court Orchard/Cassways is allocated for the development of approximately <u>35</u> 40 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	Numbers in SA Report updated in Chapter 8. The assessment of this site policy (H3.1) presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 40 dwellings. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in size does not affect the SA for this site Policy.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC95	5.120	Rep: 1612 ID: 704825 Rep: 1725, 1726, 1728, ,1745 ID: 1125770 Rep 2302 ID: 04313 Rep 2360 ID: 1133661 Rep 2631 ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Amend paragraph to read: "Part of the site is susceptible to surface water flooding and a flood risk assessment will have to pay particular regard to this and inform the design of the site. <u>Considering the size</u> of the site and the fact that part of the land is susceptible to surface water flooding, any subsequent planning application will need to be supported by a <u>Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment</u> of the predicted effects of climate change) and <u>comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform</u> matters such as layout and design."	This wording strengthens the site Policy in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience); and SA Objective 3 (Use and manage water resources in a sustainable manner) in terms of required mitigation and addresses the recommendations at out in the SA Report for the Draft Plan, and wording to this effect ha been added to this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against these objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.
South Housi	ing Market Ar	ea			· ·
PC96	Policy H3, Table 5.4	ID: 1131544 / 1131505 Rep: 2049- 2053	Update to include new site (Omission Site OM003) The Yard, Hampton Park, Salisbury, as set out in PC111; and updates to reflect consequential site reference number amendments following addition of a new site (see PC112 and PC117).	Amend Table 5.4 within Policy H3 as follows:No.CommunityRefereAreaNo.OfdwellInceSite NameIngs	This change was introduced as a factual update. The numbers have been updated in Chapter 8 of the SA Report and Non technical summary. It has no implications for the SA.

#### Key Issue/ Proposed Policy/ Reason for Proposed Change Proposed Change **SA Implications** Rep change ref Para Number Numbers reference The Yard, Hampton Salisbury H3.5 Park 14 Clover H3.5 Lane, Durrington Amesbury H3.6 45 Larkhill H3.6 Road, H3.7 Amesbury Durrington 15 Paragraph Factual update. Amend text to read: This change was introduced to PC97 5.128 provide clarification of the text. It Amend incorrect reference in 1st bullet point "Transport: development inevitably has impacts on the has no implications for the SA. to Salisbury Transport Strategy as strategy local transport network. The Salisbury Transport Strategy has now been refreshed. contains measures to support the scale of growth envisaged by the WCS. Plan allocations crystallise the pattern growth takes up to 2026 and refreshing the refresh of the Salisbury Transport Strategy (2018) will allow has reviewed the effectiveness of existing measures to be reviewed and proposes new ones to accommodate growth. Development will contribute to these wider network measures, where necessary, alongside measures that are implemented expressly as part of specific development proposals." Amend 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point in text as follows: In response to comments from Natural This has been reviewed and Paragraph PC98 5.128 England and Environment Agency regarding updates to the SA Report made in River Avon SAC. Chapter 8 (including Table 8-5) "Biodiversity: development could contribute Whilst Policy H2 will still score cumulatively towards adverse impacts on the qualifying mixed effects against this Objective features of the River Avon SAC through increased as some loss of biodiversity will be phosphate loading and habitat loss / damage, However. the scale of development is within thresholds set down inevitable (even if replaced), it is in a As such, the Nutrient Management Plan seeks to considered in relation the positive for the river that avoids the likelihood of adverse effects. effect, that this will result in a change from a minor to a moderate Nevertheless, impacts are kept under review and this situation may change. For an interim period, positive effect against SA Objective developments within the River Avon SAC catchment 1. should be phosphate neutral, which will be defined

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				in a Memorandum of Understanding with Natural England and Environment Agency. Measures will therefore need to be in place to ensure that developments do not contribute to a net increase in phosphates for the River Avon SAC. Housing developers might consider how schemes can offset the additional phosphate loading resulting from new homes and specific measures will be set out in an annex to the Nutrient Management Plan."	
Housing Allo	Para 5.129		Road, Salisbury Factual update:	Amend 2nd and 3 <sup>rd</sup> sentences of paragraph 5.129 to read:	This change was introduced as a
PC99	F ata 3.129		Amend incorrect reference to '70m contour' and to reflect the latest housing land supply statement published March 2018 (base date April 2017)	"All built development will be below the <u><b>75</b></u> <del>70</del> m contour and a scheme will include a country park and extensive planting." Development of this site represents necessary growth to support the delivery of housing at Salisbury and thereby <u>contribute towards</u> -maintain a 5-year housing land supply <del>position</del> within the South Wiltshire Housing Market Area."	factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC100	Para 5.136		Factual update: The refresh of the Salisbury Transport Strategy has taken place so text needs to reflect this.	Add third sentence to read: "To address such matters, dialogue with Highways England will be required and work would take place in conjunction with <del>a refresh of the</del> Salisbury Transport Strategy <u>refresh</u> <u>(2018).</u> "	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC101	Para 5.137 2nd sentence		Factual update: The refresh of the Salisbury Transport Strategy has taken place so text needs to reflect this.	Amend second sentence to read: "This too would be undertaken in conjunction with an <u>the</u> <del>updated</del> Salisbury Transport Strategy <u>refresh (2018)</u> that takes account of planned strategic growth of Salisbury."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA.
PC102	Housing Allocation H3.1	ID: 899628 / 899623 Rep: 1881	For clarity: To give further clarification regarding any approval of a masterplan for the site, to be consistent with text of other policies where a masterplan is required.	Amend final sentence of Policy H3.1 to read; "Development will take place in accordance with a masterplan for the site approved by the Council <u>as part of</u> <u>the planning application process</u> ."	This change was introduced to provide clarification of the text. It has no implications for the SA.

Proposed change ref		Key Issue/ Rep	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
Number		Numbers			
PC103	Paragraph 5.138	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Amend paragraph to read: "A water infrastructure capacity appraisal will be needed to confirm the scope and extent of works to service new development. This should include the capacity of local sewer systems. A detailed flood risk assessment would be required in order to identify a set of appropriate sustainable drainage measures. Bearing in mind the size of the site, any subsequent planning application will need to be supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change) and comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform matters such as layout and design. Sufficient land would need to be set aside for robust surface water management, to include a-comprehensive Surface Water Drainage Scheme measures (including a Sustainable Drainage System) that results in run-off rates equalling, or greater than bettering current greenfield infiltration rates."	The change helps clarify and strengthen the site Policy (H3.1) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience) and Objective 3 (water)in terms of required mitigation, and wording has been added to this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.
Housing allo	cation H3.3: N	lorth of Nether	hampton Road		
PC104	Replace para 5.144 with new text	ID: 403792 Rep: 1647	Improve clarity. To address the comments submitted by Historic England and reflect the advice set out in Council Heritage Impact Assessment. Additional weight to be given to heritage assets.	Replace paragraph 5.144 as follows: "The area is sensitive in terms of the setting to the Cathedral and views towards it. Open space along the southern boundary will maintain views of the Cathedral spire travelling east. Design and layout taking account of a Heritage Impact Assessment would be capable of preventing development from having a harmful influence. Proposals would need to provide for a high quality, sustainable development that enhances an important approach to the City and provides links to nearby public rights of way." "Long views to the historic City of Salisbury and Salisbury Conservation Area including the spire of Salisbury Cathedral (Grade I listed) are available across the site from the A3094, and at closer range from within the site itself. At the planning application stage, the layout and design of the site would need to give great	The assessment of this site (S1027) presented in Annex I identifies the heritage important of these features. This is noted in the assessment of this policy in the SA Report. The change clarifies and strengthens the need for protection of these features and addresses the minor adverse effect on SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) for Policy H3.3; however, no change to the score against SA Objective 6 (Cultural heritage) is proposed.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				weight to conserving the significance of these heritage assets and their setting. Development proposals would need to be sensitively designed to ensure that views of the Spire are not significantly compromised. Design and layout would also need to positively address the objectives of the City of Salisbury Conservation Area Appraisal and Management Plan to minimise harm. Proposals would therefore need to provide for high guality, sustainable development that enhances an important approach to the City and provides links to nearby rights of way."	
PC105	Paragraph 5.143	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites.	Amend to read: "Land north of Netherhampton Road is allocated for the development of approximately 100 dwellings on 5.6ha of land as shown on the Policies Map. It is reasonably well located with regard to services and facilities. The site is well contained in terms of visual impacts on the wider landscape. The extent of possible flood risks areas will need to be carefully surveyed so that development avoids them. Part of the site lies within Flood Zone 2 and hence development proposals will need to be sequentially planned and supported by a Flood Risk Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the predicted effects of climate change). In addition, development proposals will need to be supported by a comprehensive drainage strategy to address issues of surface water flooding."	Objective 1 (Biodiversity) in terms of required mitigation, and wording has been added to this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against these Objectives have been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring
PC106	Paragraph 5.145	Rep: 2512	For clarity, in response to comments from Highways England.	Insert text at the end of paragraph 5.145: " <u>Transport assessment will be required to support any</u> <u>planning application and provision made for transport</u> <u>network improvements necessary to accommodate the</u> <u>scale of development</u> ."	The change helps clarify and strengthen the site Policy (H3.3) in relation to SA Objective 10. This is noted in the assessment of this policy in the SA Report. The assessment against this Objective has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
		Land at Rowba	rrow. Salisbury		
PC107	Paragraph 5.146	ID: 1130961/ 556489 Reps: 1823- 1831		Amend paragraph 5.146 to read: "Land at Rowbarrow is allocated for the development of approximately 100 dwellings on <u>5.56</u> 6.1ha of land as shown on the Policies Map."	The assessment of this site (3272) presented in Annex I considered a site of approximately 6.6ha. As such, it is considered that this minor amendment in size does not affect the SA for this site Policy. The SA Report has been updated.
PC108	Figure 5.22	ID: 1130961/ 55489 Reps: 1823- 1831	Factual update. Amend site boundary to exclude the woodland buffer as this is not within land available for development.	Amend Figure 5.22 as shown in Annex H.	As above
PC109	Para 5.148		For clarity. Amend paragraph to add clarity regarding landscaping and open space requirements, as stated in the TEP Landscape Assessment.	Amend paragraph 5.148 to read: "This is a sloping and quite prominent site. In combination with Heritage Impact Assessment, development will need to take place within a strong landscape framework that maintains and enhances the existing woodland belts affecting the site. Containment provided by the beech shelterbelt on the southern boundary should extend as a green corridor from the end of the shelterbelt eastwards towards the existing Rowbarrow housing development and woodland around the Milk & More Salisbury Depot. <u>This</u> green corridor should include copses, groups of trees and individual specimen trees. The arrangement of any proposed development and open space on the site <u>should This would</u> provide a setting for public rights of way in the area and maintain their views of the Salisbury cathedral spire <u>and this could be achieved through</u> <u>careful street alignment and locating open space in the</u> <u>southern part of the site. The sloping buffer of land on</u> <u>the northern edge of the site should be enhanced with</u> <u>tree planting and the landscape buffer along</u> <u>Rowbarrow (road) retained.</u> "	This change relates to SA Objective 7 (landscape) and strengthens the site Policy in terms of specific site improvements. This is reflected in the updated wording of this policy assessment in the SA Report. This will address the minor impacts identified against this Objective for this site; no change to the site policy scoring is proposed as minor impacts on landscape are still possible.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers		Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC110	Paragraph 5.147	ID: 403792 Rep: 1647	Improve clarity. To reflect the advice provided by Historic England.	Development will need to preserve the contribution made by	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
	EW Housing A	Ilocation H3.5	The Yard, Hampton Park, Salisbury	·	
PC111	New site Housing Allocation H3.5	ID: 1131544 / 1131505 Rep: 2049- 2053		After paragraph 5.149 add in new site allocation, as set out below. Insert heading: <u>"H3.5 The Yard, Hampton Park, Salisbury'</u> Then insert site allocation figure as set out in Annex I; And insert following new paragraphs after. New para: <u>"The Yard, Hampton Park is allocated for the</u> <u>development of approximately 14 dwellings on</u> <u>approximately 1.31 ha of land as shown on the Policies</u> <u>Map. The site lies adjacent to the settlement boundary</u> <u>and existing residential development, and would deliver</u> <u>a relatively small number of dwellings to help contribute</u> <u>towards the overall remaining indicative housing</u> <u>requirement for Salisbury."</u>	This is a new site and therefore SA of this site has been undertaken and included in Chapter 8. Mitigation of the minor adverse effects is on the whole is addressed adequately through the cross-cutting themes and the H3 policy supporting text. The proposed new supporting text for the site policy does not, however, make specific recommendations for HRA to address the moderate adverse effect identified for this site against SA Objective 1. The moderate adverse effect identified for this site against SA Objective 3 is not fully covered in the proposed supporting text; it is recommended that, in line with other recommendations from the Environment Agency in this

Proposed	Policy/	Key Issue/	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
change ref	Para	Rep			
Number	reference	Numbers		"The site has previously been used for agricultural	proposed changes tables, that the
				storage purposes, is fairly flat, and comprises small	following text is added:
				parcels of rough grassland and a large disused	Tonowing text is added.
				agricultural storage building. Access to the site would	"Any subsequent planning
				be achieved via Neal Close.'	application will need to be
					supported by a Flood Risk
				New para:	Assessment (incorporating an
					assessment of the predicted effects
				<u>"This site is within the Special Landscape Area and in a</u>	of climate change) and
				rural fringe setting, adjacent to the Country Park.	comprehensive drainage strategy
				Access to the Country Park should be provided from	to help inform matters such as
				this site and a robust landscape strategy and	layout and design."
				infrastructure is required to allow any development to	
				appear as a natural extension to Hampton Park."	
				New para:	
				"Hedgerows around the site have the potential to be	
				of importance for bat commuting and should be	
				maintained where possible. There is a high population	
				of slow worms to be translocated off site, which may be	
				within the adjacent Country Park or other suitable	
				<u>location. Given the potential scale of the translocation,</u> any receptor site will need to provide suitable habitat	
				<u>conditions for the species. Consideration also needs to</u>	
				be given to the site's potential use as a roost site for	
				barn owls."	
				New para:	
				"As this site has previously been used for agricultural	
				storage purposes, an assessment of the history and	
				current condition of the site to determine the likelihood	
				of the existence of contamination arising from previous	
				uses should be carried to inform the planning	
				application."	
	Lastian U2 5.	Clover Lane D	l		

Housing allocation H3.5: Clover Lane, Durrington

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC112	Section title H3.5 Clover Lane, Durrington; figure 5.23		Consequential update to housing allocation reference number, to reflect proposed addition new site at Salisbury.	Amend title as follows: H3.5 <u>H3.6</u> Clover Lane, Durrington Amend Figure 5.23 caption: H3.5 <u>H3.6</u> Clover Lane, Durrington	This change was introduced as a factual update in the SA Report. It has no implications for the SA.
PC113	New paragraph after 5.152		In response to comments from Natural England and Environment Agency regarding River Avon SAC and phosphate loads.	"Development could contribute cumulatively towards adverse impacts on the qualifying features of the River Avon SAC through increased phosphate loading and habitat loss/damage. As such, a Nutrient Management Plan seeks to avoid the likelihood of adverse effects. Nonetheless, impacts are kept under review and this situation may change. For an interim period, developments within the River Avon SAC catchment should be phosphate neutral, which will be defined in a Memorandum of Understanding with Natural England and Environment Agency. Measures will therefore need to be in place to ensure that developments do not contribute to a net increase in phosphates for the River Avon SAC. Housing developers might consider how schemes can offset the additional phosphate loading resulting from new homes and specific measures will be set out in the annex to the Nutrient Management <u>Plan</u> ."	This has been reviewed and updates to the SA Report made in Chapter 8 for Policy H3.4; Overall for the Plan, whilst Policy H3 will still score mixed effects against this Objective as some loss of biodiversity will be inevitable (even if replaced), it is considered in relation to positive effects, that this will result in a change from a minor to a moderate positive effect against SA Objective 1 in Table 8- 5.
PC114	Figure 5.23 Paragraph 5.153	ID: 1119095 Rep: 1584	Factual update. Amend site boundary to reflect boundary correction.	Amend the boundary of the allocation as set out in Annex J. And amend first sentence of paragraph 5.153 to read: "Approximately <u>1.9</u> <del>1.8</del> ha of land to the north of Clover Lane, Durrington is allocated for the development of approximately 45 dwellings, as identified on the Policies Map."	A larger site was considered in the SA of the sites making up this policy (sites S98 and 3154) in (Annex I) and the reduction of capacity is identified in Chapter 8 of the SA Report. This reduction in site size overall addresses some of the sustainability issues identified for these two sites, however is not at this stage considered to change the SA scores of this policy.
PC115	Paragraph 5.155	ID: 403792 Rep: 1647	Improve clarity. To reflect the advice provided by Historic England.	Amend paragraph 5.155 as follows: "The site lies adjacent to the Durrington Conservation Area to the east and a number of Listed Buildings. Detailed	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				design and layout would need to preserve or enhance the character of the Conservation Area and this is particularly important for the eastern portion of the site. Development should minimise the potential for harm to the significance of Listed Buildings and the Conservation Area. <u>In line with</u> <u>national policy, d</u> Detailed design and layout will be guided by <u>an assessment of heritage assets and their</u> <u>significance (including the contribution made by their</u> <u>setting).</u> Informed by a Heritage Impact Assessment these considerations should be resolved through the detailed design and layout of the scheme."	implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC116	Paragraph 5.156	ID: 395940 Rep: 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity. Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address flood risk and drainage for all development sites, as well as groundwater.	Insert new text at the end of paragraph: " <u>Considering the size of the site a Flood Risk</u> <u>Assessment (incorporating an assessment of the</u> <u>predicted effects of climate change) and</u> <u>comprehensive drainage strategy to help inform</u> <u>matters such as layout and design will be required. In</u> <u>addition, as the site lies within Groundwater Protection</u> <u>Zone 1 development proposals will need to comply with</u> <u>Core Policy 68 (Water resources) with applications</u> <u>demonstrating that regard has been paid to the advice</u> <u>set out in the Environment Agency's groundwater</u> <u>protection policy.</u> "	The change helps clarify and strengthen the site Policy (H3.6) in relation to SA Objective 5b (Climate change impacts and resilience) and Objective 3 (water); and additional wording has been added to this policy assessment in the SA Report. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed at this stage.
Housing allo	ocation H3.6: I	_arkhill Road, D			
PC117	Section title H3.6 Larkhill Road, Durrington; figure 5.24		Consequential update to housing allocation reference number, to reflect proposed addition new site at Salisbury.	Amend title as follows: H3.6 <u>H3.7</u> Larkhill Road, Durrington Amend Figure 5.24 caption: H3.6 <u>H3.7</u> Larkhill Road, Durrington	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC118	Paragraph 5.157	ID: 395940 Rep 2967, 2968, 2969	Improve clarity.	Add new sentences to end of paragraph:	The change helps clarify and strengthen the site Policy (H3.7) in relation to SA Objective 3

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change			SA Implications	
			Insert additional wording to address concerns raised by the Environment Agency, highlighting the need to address groundwater.	"As the site lies within Groundwater Protection Zone development proposals will need to comply with Core Policy 68 (Water resources) with applications demonstrating that regard has been paid to the advice set out in the Environment Agency's groundwater protection policy."		<u>Core</u> Ivice	(water) in terms of required mitigation and additional wording has been added to the policy assessment in the SA Report. The assessment against these Objectives has been reviewed in light of these changes and no change to the site policy scoring is proposed.	
Chapter 6 S	ettlement Bo	undary Review	1					
PC119	Paragraph 6.3		Improve clarity in line with the Settlement Boundary Review Methodology.		ows: ave been updated to take ac permissions <del>since</del> <u>up to</u> Apri		This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.	
PC120	Table 6.1 (Page 72)		Factual update. Change to table to show that the settlement	Move West Lavington and I Table 6.1. Devizes	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.			
			boundary for West Lavington and Littleton	2011200				
			Panell is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this is	Devizes*	Devizes*			
			now being undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Bromham	Pottern			
				Market Lavington	Urchfont			
				Rowde	West Lavington and Littleton Panell			
				West Lavington and Littleton Panell				
				Worton				

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
PC121	Appendix A (Page 79), Paragraph A.1	ID: Rep:	Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for West Lavington and Littleton Panell is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this is now being undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Delete bullet point 5: West Lavington and Littleton Panell	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC122	Appendix A (Page 79), Paragraph A.3	ID: Rep:	Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for West Lavington and Littleton Panell is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this is now being undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Amend paragraph A.3: "The settlement boundaries for Potterne <u>-and</u> Urchfont <u>and</u> <u>West Lavington and Littleton Panell</u> have not been reviewed because of neighbourhood plans."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC123	Page 84	ID: Rep:	Factual update. The settlement boundary for West Lavington and Littleton Panell is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this is now being undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Delete 'West Lavington and Littleton Panell settlement boundary' map.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC124	Page 73, Table 6.2	ID: Rep:	Factual update. Change to table to show that the settlement boundary for Christian Malford is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Christian Malford "Christian Malford"	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change SA Implications
PC125	Appendix A (Page 108), paragraph A.34	ID: 1118671 Rep: 55 ID: 910890 Rep: 619	Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Christian Malford is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Delete bullet point 1:       This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC126	paragraph A.35	ID: 1118671 Rep: 55 ID: 910890 Rep: 619	Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Christian Malford is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Add sentence to the end of paragraph A.35:This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC127		ID: 1118671 Rep: 55 ID: 910890 Rep: 619	Factual update. The settlement boundary for Christian Malford is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Delete Christian Malford map. This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC128	Page 73, Table 6.2	ID: Rep:	Factual update. Change to table to show that the settlement boundary for Oaksey is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan	Move Oaksey into column 3 of Table 6.2:       This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.         Malmesbury       Oaksey         Ashton Keynes       Crudwell

Proposed change ref Number	Para	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change	SA Implications
				Oaksey           Sherston	
PC129	Appendix A (Page 119), paragraph A.46		Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Oaksey is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan	Delete bullet point 4: <del>Oaksey</del>	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC130	Appendix A (Page 119), paragraph A.47		Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Oaksey is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan	Amend paragraph A.47: "The settlement boundary <u>ies</u> for Great Somerford <u>and</u> <u>Oaksey</u> hasve not been reviewed because of <del>a</del> neighbourhood plan <u>s</u> ."	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC131	Page 123		Factual update. The settlement boundary for Oaksey is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Delete Oaksey map.	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.
PC132	Page 74, Table 6.2	ID:1051839 Rep: 1548	Factual update. Change to table to show that the settlement boundary for Cricklade is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Cricklade	This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.

Proposed change ref Number	Policy/ Para reference	Key Issue/ Rep Numbers	Reason for Proposed Change	Proposed Change				SA Implications
					Royal Wootton Bassett			
		ID:1051839	Factual update.	De	lete bullet point 2:			This change was introduced as a
PC133	(Page 132), paragraph A.60,	Rep: 1548	Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Cricklade is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Cricklade		factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.		
PC134	Appendix A (Page 132) paragraph A.60	ID:1051839 Rep: 1548	Factual update. Text change to show that the settlement boundary for Cricklade is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan.	Add new paragraph after paragraph A.60: " <u>A.61 The settlement boundary for Cricklade has not</u> <u>been reviewed because of a neighbourhood plan.</u> "		This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.		
PC135	Page 134	ID:1051839 Rep: 1548	Factual update. The settlement boundary for Christian Malford is not being reviewed by the Wiltshire Housing Site Allocations Plan because this has now been undertaken by a neighbourhood plan		ete Cricklade map.			This change was introduced as a factual update. It has no implications for the SA. No change to the SA Report required.

				Minimum to be allocated
East Wiltshire HMA	5,940	<del>3,497</del> <u>3,624</u>	<del>2,273</del>	<del>170</del> <u>5</u>
North and West Wiltshire HMA	24,740	<del>12,603</del>	<del>11,566</del>	<del>571</del> <u>1,109</u>
South Wiltshire HMA	10,420	<del>5,067</del> <u>5,388</u>	4 <del>,759</del> <u>3,701</u>	<del>59</del> 4 <u>1,331</u>

# Proposed Changes to figures in Section 4: Housing Delivery Strategy PC3: Amendments to Table 4.1:

#### PC15: Amendments to Table 4.7:

НМА	Housing requirement 2006-2026	Housing Completions 2006-2017	Commitments 2017-2026	Windfall allowance (2017-2026)	Plan allocations 2017-2026	TOTAL	Surplus
East Wiltshire	5,940	<del>3,497</del>	<del>2,273</del> <b>2,311</b>	<del>811</del> <u>823</u>	<del>241-<u>161</u></del>	<del>6,822</del>	<del>882 <b>979</b></del>
North & West Wiltshire	24,740	<del>12,603</del>	<del>11,566</del> <u>10,606</u>	<del>2,086</del>	<del>1,195</del>	<del>27,035</del> <u>27,093</u>	<del>2,710</del>
South Wiltshire	10,420	<del>5,388</del>	4 <del>,759</del>	<del>736</del>	<del>795</del> <u>804</u>	<del>11,357</del>	<del>937</del>

#### PC17: Amendments to Table 4.8:

Year supply (Liverpool)	2017/2018	2018/2019	2019/2020	2020/2021	2021/2022	2022/2023	2023/2024	2024/2025	2025/2026
	<del>9.18</del>	<del>9.11</del>	<del>9.75</del>	<del>12.20</del>	<del>22.44</del>	<del>20.18</del>	<del>14.01</del>	<del>9.81</del>	<del>7.45</del>
East HMA	<u>8.54</u>	<u>8.81</u>	<u>9.64</u>	<u>10.77</u>	<u>14.98</u>	<u>14.62</u>	<u>12.89</u>	<u>11.50</u>	<u>8.23</u>
	7.15	7.54	7.64	7.54	7.85	<del>7.92</del>	7.48	<del>6.5</del> 4	<del>5.30</del>
North and West HMA	<u>6.21</u>	<u>6.77</u>	<u>6.96</u>	<u>6.99</u>	<u>7.09</u>	<u>7.13</u>	<u>7.01</u>	<u>6.46</u>	<u>5.60</u>
	<del>6.09</del>	<del>6.30</del>	<del>6.43</del>	<del>6.65</del>	<del>6.88</del>	7.13	<del>6.70</del>	<del>5.87</del>	4 <del>.75</del>
South HMA	<u>5.70</u>	<u>5.95</u>	<u>5.75</u>	<u>5.57</u>	<u>5.46</u>	<u>5.14</u>	<u>4.19</u>	<u>3.25</u>	<u>2.42</u>

# PC18: Amendments to Table 4.9:

Area	Indicative requirement 2006-2026	Completions 2006-2017	Developable commitments 2017- 2026	TOTAL	% Variation
Urban areas					
Devizes	2,010	1,447 <u>1,501</u>	689 <u>612</u>	<del>2,136</del>	<del>6.3%</del> <u>5.1%</u>
Marlborough	680	<del>357</del> <u>397</u>	<del>306</del> <u>304</u>	<del>663</del> <u>701</u>	<del>2.6%</del> <u>3.1%</u>
Tidworth and Ludgershall	1,750	728 <u>767</u>	1,109 <u>1,177</u>	<del>1,836</del>	<del>5.0%</del> <u>11.1%</u>
TOTAL	4,440	<del>2,532</del>	<del>2,103</del>	4 <del>,635</del>	4.4% <u>7.2%</u>
Rural areas					
Devizes CA remainder	490	286 <b>297</b>	182 <u>112</u>	4 <del>68</del> <u>409</u>	-4.5% <u>-16.5%</u>
Marlborough CA remainder	240	<del>160</del> <u>157</u>	4 <del>6</del> <u>52</u>	<del>206</del> <u>209</u>	-14.1% <u>-12.9%</u>
Pewsey CA	600	4 <del>26</del> <u>416</u>	179 <u>192</u>	605 <u>608</u>	<del>0.9%</del> <u>1.3%</u>
Tidworth CA remainder	170	93 <u>89</u>	३ <u>23</u>	<del>96</del> <u>112</u>	-43.5% <u>-34.1%</u>
TOTAL	1,500	<del>965</del> <u>959</u>	410 <u>379</u>	1,375 <u>1,338</u>	- <del>8.3%</del> -10.8%

#### PC21: Amendments to Table 4.10:

Area	Indicative requirement 2006-2026	Completions 2006-2017	Developable commitments 2017- 2026	TOTAL	% Variation
Urban areas					
Bradford on Avon	595	<del>387</del> <u>384</u>	<del>212</del> <b>218</b>	<del>599</del> <u>602</u>	1%
Calne	1,440	<del>961</del> <u>1,034</u>	<del>807</del>	<del>1,768</del>	<del>23%</del> <u>31%</u>
Chippenham	4,510	<del>1,20</del> 4 <u>1,230</u>	<del>3,819</del> <u>3,016</u>	5,023 <u>4,246</u>	<del>11%</del>
Corsham	1,220	<del>6</del> 46 <u>597</u>	<del>587</del>	<del>1,233</del>	<del>1%</del>
Malmesbury	885	<del>560</del> <u>657</u>	4 <del>55</del> <u>385</u>	<del>1,015</del> <u>1,042</u>	<del>15%</del>
Melksham and Bowerhill	2,240	<del>1,370</del> <u>1,445</u>	<del>1,221</del> 1,113	<del>2,591</del> 2,558	<del>16%</del> 14%
Royal Wootton Bassett	1,070	<del>997</del> <u>1,014</u>	<del>158</del>	<del>1,155</del> <u>1,154</u>	<del>-18%</del> <u><b>8%</b></u>
Trowbridge	6,810	<del>2,965</del> <u>3,019</u>	<del>2,625</del>	<del>5,590</del>	<del>-15%</del> <u>-19%</u>
Warminster	1,920	<del>603</del> <u>615</u>	<del>1,055</del>	<del>1,658</del> <u>1,755</u>	<del>-14%</del>
Westbury	1,500	877 <u>940</u>	<del>93</del> 1 <u>851</u>	<del>1,808</del> <u>1,791</u>	<del>21%</del> 19%
TOTAL	22,190	<del>10,570</del> <u>10,935</u>	<del>11,871</del>	<del>22,441</del>	<del>1%</del> <u>-2%</u>
Rural areas					
Bradford on Avon CA remainder	185	119 <u>123</u>	72 <u>56</u>	<del>191</del> <u>179</u>	<del>3%</del> -3%
Calne CA remainder	165	<del>92</del>	<del>153</del> <u>171</u>	<del>245</del> <u>267</u>	4 <del>9%</del> <u>62%</u>
Chippenham CA remainder	580	4 <del>09</del> <u>419</u>	<del>113</del>	<del>522</del> <u>585</u>	<del>-10%</del> <u>1%</u>
Corsham CA remainder	175	<del>255</del> <u>285</u>	96	<del>351</del> <u>381</u>	<del>101%</del> <u>118%</u>
Malmesbury CA remainder	510	<del>336</del> <u>340</u>	144 <u>170</u>	4 <del>80</del> <u>510</u>	- <del>6%</del> <u>0%</u>
Melksham CA remainder	130	<del>101</del> <u>115</u>	38 <u>44</u>	<del>139</del> _ <u>159</u>	<del>7%</del>

Royal Wootton Bassett and Cricklade CA remainder	385	315 <u>305</u>	<del>150</del> <u>177</u>	4 <del>65</del>	<del>21%</del>
Trowbridge CA remainder	165	<del>255</del> - <b>256</b>	<del>23</del> <u>32</u>	<del>278</del> 288	<del>69%</del>
Warminster CA remainder	140	<del>61</del> <u>91</u>	<del>53</del> <u>68</u>	<del>143</del>	<del>2%</del>
Westbury CA remainder	115	<del>61</del> <u>60</u>	47 <u><b>46</b></u>	<del>108</del> <u>106</u>	- <del>6%</del> -8%
TOTAL	2,550	<del>2,033</del>	<del>890</del> <u>1,026</u>	<del>2,923</del>	<del>15%</del>

#### PC27: Amendments to Table 4.11:

Area	Indicative requirement 2006-2026	Completions 2006-2017	Developable commitments 2017- 2026	TOTAL	% Variation
Urban areas Amesbury, Bulford and					
Durrington	2,440	<del>1,311</del> <b>1,446</b>	<del>1,101</del> 873	<del>2,412</del> 2,319	<del>-1%</del> -5%
Salisbury	6,060	2,273 <b>2,436</b>	<del>3,833</del> <b>2,970</b>	6,637 <u>5,938</u>	<del>10%</del> <u>-2%</u>
Wilton		<del>323</del> <u>321</u>	<del>208</del>		
TOTAL	8,500	<del>3,907</del>	<del>5,142</del>	<del>9,049</del> <u><b>8,257</b></u>	<del>6%</del> <u>-3%</u>
Rural areas					
Amesbury CA remainder	345	<del>179</del> <u>176</u>	<del>58</del> - <u>73</u>	<del>237</del> <b>249</b>	-31% <u>-28%</u>
Mere CA remainder	50	37 <u>42</u>	ə <u>7</u>	42 <u>49</u>	-15% <u>-2%</u>
Mere (LSC)	235	<del>126</del> <u>123</u>	<del>139</del>	<del>265</del> <u>266</u>	13%
Downton (LSC)	190	<del>88</del> - <u>101</u>	<del>105</del>	193	2%
Tisbury (LSC)	200	<del>170</del> <u>169</u>	5 <u>9</u>	<del>175</del> <u>178</u>	<del>-12%</del> -11%
Wilton CA remainder	255	<del>115</del> <u>123</u>	<del>11</del> <u>14</u>	<del>126</del> <u>137</u>	<del>-51%</del> <u>-46%</u>
Southern Wiltshire CA remainder	425	<del>385</del> <u>389</u>	<del>78</del>	4 <del>63</del>	<del>9%</del>

Tisbury CA remainder	220	<del>60</del>	11 <u>16</u>	71 <u>78</u>	<del>-68%</del> <u>-65%</u>
TOTAL	1,920	<del>1,160</del>	4 <del>12</del>	<del>1,572</del>	<del>-18%</del>

Atkins

Euston Tower 30<sup>th</sup> Floor 286 Euston Road London NW1 3AT